

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/806,917	Applicant(s) DIMPSEY ET AL.	
	Examiner BEN C. WANG	Art Unit 2192	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Gerald H. Glanzman (Reg. No. 25,035).

(2) Ben C. Wang.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2008

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner proposed amendments to claim 11 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 101 by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Glanzman authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes..

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Eric B. Kiss/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

